

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 JAMES E. OAKLEY, II,  
12 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-02-1205 MCE JFM P

13 v.

ORDER

14 M.C. KRAMER, Warden,  
15 Respondent.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 Petitioner, an inmate proceeding pro se, has filed this  
18 application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
19 § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate  
20 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General  
21 Order No. 262.

22 On August 1, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and  
23 recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which  
24 contained notice to all parties that any objections to the  
25 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen  
26 days.

1 Petitioner has filed objections to the findings and  
2 recommendations.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.  
4 § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a  
5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the  
6 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to  
7 be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 1, 2005,  
10 are adopted in full; and

11 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is  
12 denied.

13 DATED: September 7, 2005

14  
15  
16 

17 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26